COMMITTEE: APPROPRIATIONS

SUBCOMMITTEES:
RANKING MEMBER, FINANCIAL
SERVICES AND GENERAL GOVERNMENT

AGRICULTURE, RURAL DEVELOPMENT, FOOD AND DRUG ADMINISTRATION, AND RELATED AGENCIES

http://www.house.gov/emarson

Congress of the United States

House of Representatives

Washington, **BC** 20515-2508

April 22, 2010

Mary Son San West

WASHINGTON, DC 20515 (202) 225–4404 ———

2440 RAYBURN HOUSE OFFICE BUILDING

555 INDEPENDENCE STREET SUITE 1400 CAPE GIRARDEAU, MO 63703 (573) 335-0101

> 1301 KINGSHIGHWAY ROLLA, MO 65401 (573) 364-2455

22 EAST COLUMBIA FARMINGTON, MO 63640) (573) 756–9755

35 COURT SQUARE SUITE 300 WEST PLAINS, MO 65775 (417) 255-1515

123

The Honorable Julius Genachowski Chairman Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, SW Washington, DC 20554

Dear Chairman Genachowski,

As a representative of a very rural Congressional district, I would like to express my concern regarding the existing retransmission consent regime being considered for review by the Commission, and I encourage you to be mindful of the impact these local rules have on rural Missouri. The Commission's agenda, particularly the retransmission consent rulemaking, is timely and appropriate. Furthermore, this process could have a significant benefit on the availability of service and the prices paid by my constituents, and I appreciate your consideration of my comments.

Recently I had the opportunity to visit with some of my district's smaller operators and learned they are paying significantly higher rates for programming than larger operators. I fail to see a legitimate economic justification for the price discrepancy between the predominantly rural smaller operators and the large media corporations serving densely-populated regions of the country. Small companies operating in Southern Missouri and I believe that their customers (my constituents) are ultimately being overcharged for service; it leads me to question whether the video programming market can function properly when one side of a contract holds such a disproportionate share of market power.

As the Commission moves forward with the retransmission consent rulemaking, I request you remain considerate of such market inequities and the impact they have on smaller, rural operators. Thank you for your consideration of my request.

Sincerely,

30 APR 2010 RCVD

JO ANN EMERSON Member of Congress

PRINTED ON RECYCLED PAPER



FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION WASHINGTON

June 24, 2010

The Honorable Jo Ann Emerson U.S. House of Representatives 2440 Rayburn House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congresswoman Emerson:

Thank you for sharing your thoughts with me about the video programming market and the retransmission consent process. Recent high profile retransmission negotiations and other events have led many parties to argue that the Commission's current retransmission consent policies have not kept pace with changes in the marketplace, and may no longer serve the interests of consumers who subscribe to multichannel video programming distributor (MVPD) services. We will consider your views carefully as the Commission examines the record developed in its retransmission consent proceeding.

I appreciate your interest in this important matter. Please do not hesitate to let me know if I can be of further assistance.

Sincerely,

Julius Genachowski